Deformation and destruction of north-eastward drifting dipoles

Deformation and destruction of north-eastward drifting dipoles

J. Davies, G. G. Sutyrin, M. N. Crowe, and P. S. Berloff
1 Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK
2 Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett 02882, USA
3 School of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK
4 Institute of Numerical Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

(*Electronic mail: jack.davies18@imperial.ac.uk)
(Dated: 18 October 2023)

We systematically study the evolution of Larichev-Reznik dipoles in an equivalent-barotropic quasigeostrophic beta-plane model in high-resolution numerical simulations. Our results shed light on the self-organization and rich dynamics of dipolar vortices, which are ubiquitous in geophysical flows. By varying both dipole strength and initial angle ϑ₀ of dipole tilt to the zonal direction, we discover new breakdown mechanisms of the dipole evolution. The dipoles are quickly destroyed by Rossby wave radiation, if initial tilt is too large or the dipole is too weak; otherwise, via damped oscillations the dipoles tend to adjust themselves to different states drifting eastward. Two competing physical mechanisms that govern dipole transformations are found: (1) spontaneous dipole instability due to a growing critical linear mode; (2) meridional separation of dipole partners that accumulates over the adjustment period and prevents the above instability. Which mechanism prevails depends on the initial tilt and dipole strength, and the details of this are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of isolated and coherent vortices has attracted the attention of many researchers trying to understand long-lived geophysical vortices, which are ubiquitous in the ocean and atmospheres. Within this broad class of phenomena, we focus on vortex dipoles (i.e., pairs of opposite-sign vortices) and their long-term evolution. Dipolar couples transporting fluid inside their cores across large distances are widespread at the ocean surface, stimulating growing interest in the underlying vortex dynamics.

A classical example exhibiting steady propagation is the Lamb-Chaplygin dipole (hereafter, LCD) which is a solution of the two-dimensional (2D) Euler equations. As indicated in (Ref. 14, section 4.3), an LCD with a circular core tends to slowly evolve towards a state with smoother vorticity distribution in a slightly elliptical core. While no instability was detected in the inviscid discretized version of the LCD with circular separatix, the authors noted that the lowest vorticity levels (not represented in their isovortical discretization) might be rapidly stripped from the configuration through the neighbourhood of the rear stagnation point. Indeed, linearly unstable modes were found when explicit viscosity was included in the system.

Building from this, a 2D stationary solution (so-called modon) on the β-plane (taking into account planetary rotation and sphericity) was obtained due to Stern. Furthermore, a more general solution of the classical quasigeostrophic (QG) equivalent-barotropic model (given by rotating top-layer shallow-water dynamics with deformable lower interface above motionless deep layer) for propagating dipoles with a circular separatix were obtained by Larichev and Reznik (hereafter, LRD).

When a finite internal Rossby radius of deformation is assumed, the LRD solutions are capable of zonal drift in both eastward and westward directions. The eastward propagation speed is unbounded, whereas the propagation speed to the west must exceed the maximum Rossby wave phase speed to prevent the vortex losing energy to generated waves. In the past, westward propagating LRDs were suggested as a paradigm for atmospheric blocking, but were later found unstable, hence, not suitable for this due to rapid destruction. On the other hand, eastward propagating LRDs were found to be remarkably robust in numerical simulations, even in the presence of weak friction and topographic perturbations. Proof of their stability, however, remains elusive.

Recently, the phenomenon of spontaneous symmetry breaking was discovered in eastward weak-intensity LRDs leading to their ultimate destruction. The corresponding unstable growing perturbation has even symmetry about the zonal axis (similar to the unstable modes obtained for the evolving viscous LCD), despite the odd flow symmetry of the LRD. A normal mode representation of this growing perturbation accurately replicates the time evolution, confirming that the eastward LRD is linearly unstable. This growing mode was initially referred to as the A-mode, in accord with some earlier notation for a similar mode, however, in this study we refer to it as the Davies mode (D-mode), to emphasise its discovery and differentiate it from the A-mode for the LCD when viscosity is imposed in the system.

The effect of dipole tilt relative to the zonal direction was examined in (Ref. 31), where two regimes were reported. For weak dipoles with large tilt, the dipole rapidly disintegrated. However, for most of the cases studied, the dipole experienced damped oscillations along the zonal axis before adjusting to new eastward propagating steady states. Here, we include the effects of dipole tilt using the numerical framework of (Ref. 30). We argue that the instabilities were not captured in (Ref. 31) due to computational constraints on the spatial resolution of the simulations.
Deformation and destruction of north-eastward drifting dipoles

The structure of this paper is the following: in §2 we formulate the nondimensional model, derive the tilted dipole state and briefly introduce an asymptotic theory for strong dipoles; in §3 we detail our findings for both strong and weak dipoles; and in §4 we summarise and discuss the results.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

A. Equivalent-barotropic framework

To connect to previous studies, we adopt the equivalent-barotropic quasigeostrophic (QG) model on the beta-plane with flat bottom relief (1,3–5):

\[ D\hat{\Pi} = 0, \quad \hat{\Pi} = \hat{\rho} + \hat{\beta} \hat{\gamma}, \]

where \( \hat{\beta} \) represents the meridional gradient of the Coriolis parameter; \( \hat{\Pi} = \hat{\Pi}(\hat{x}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{t}) \) is the absolute potential vorticity (PV)—a materially conserved quantity on the Lagrangian fluid elements—and the potential vorticity anomaly (PVA) is defined as

\[ \hat{\rho} = \hat{\nabla}^2 \hat{\gamma} - \hat{K}^2 \hat{\gamma}, \]

with \( \hat{K} \) denoting the internal Rossby radius of deformation and \( \hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi}(\hat{x}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{t}) \) denoting the velocity streamfunction. For compactness, we make use of the differential operators

\[ D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}} - \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \hat{x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \hat{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}}, \]

and hat notation to indicate dimensional physical quantities.

We impose a vortex centred at coordinate \((\hat{x}_c, 0)\) and perform the change of coordinates \(\hat{x} = \hat{X} + \hat{x}_c(\hat{t})\) and \(\hat{\gamma} = \hat{Y} \) to reposition the origin of our reference frame to the vortex center. Next, (1) is nondimensionalized by introducing the length and velocity scales, \(L\) and \(U\), respectively:

\[ \frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} - \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \hat{x}} \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \hat{\gamma}} + \hat{\beta} \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}}{\partial \hat{x}} = 0, \]

where the Jacobian operator is defined as

\[ J_{X,Y}(A, B) := \frac{\partial A}{\partial \hat{X}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \hat{Y}} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \hat{Y}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \hat{X}}, \]

for functions \(A\) and \(B\), and the absence of hat notation indicates the following nondimensional quantities:

\[ (X, Y, x_t) = L^{-1}(\hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{x}_c(\hat{t})), \quad t = L^{-1} \hat{t}, \]

\[ \beta = L^{-2} U \hat{\beta}, \quad \psi = (LU)^{-1} \hat{\gamma}, \quad q = LU^{-1} \hat{q}. \]

Focusing on steady vortices with purely zonal drift yields the governing equation

\[ J_{X,Y}(\psi + c Y, \nabla^2 \psi + \beta' Y) = 0, \]

where \(c = dx_c/\partial t\), \(\beta' = \beta + cs\) and \(S = (L/\hat{K})^2\).

Following (Ref. 30), the PVA field can be decomposed into two uniquely defined fields:

\[ q_A = \frac{q(X, Y, t) + q(X, -Y, t)}{2}, \quad q_S = \frac{q(X, Y, t) - q(X, -Y, t)}{2} \]

where \(q_A\) denotes the A-component, which has even PVA relative to the zonal axis, and \(q_S\) denotes the S-component, which has odd PVA relative to the zonal axis. The benefit of this presentation is that we can interpret each component as follows: the S-component perturbation leads to symmetric deformation of the vortices around the zonal axis, whereas, the A-component perturbation leads to the antisymmetric deformation.

Given that \(\psi_{A,S}\) denotes the A- and S- component of the velocity streamfunction, respectively, the quadratic invariants of energy and enstrophy for each component are obtained by integrating over the doubly-periodic domain \(\Sigma\):

\[ E_{A,S} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} \left( \nabla \psi_{A,S} \right)^2 + S \psi^2_{A,S} \, d\Sigma, \quad Z_{A,S} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} q_{A,S}^2 \, d\Sigma, \]

(9)

where \(d\Sigma = dx \, dy\); and the summations \(E = E_A + E_S\) and \(Z = Z_A + Z_S\) are conserved for the inviscid case, as described by (7).

Explicit Newtonian viscosity can be incorporated into the equivalent-barotropic model by setting the left-hand side of (7) equal to \(Re^{-1} \nabla^2 \psi\), where \(Re = (LU)^{-1} \psi\) is the Reynolds number, and \(\nu\) denotes eddy viscosity. However, since we are interested in solutions to the inviscid problem (7), we make use of very small implicit numerical viscosity in our simulations, which we discuss later. This decision is also motivated by the results of the sensitivity analysis carried out in (Ref. 30), where consistency is found between simulations using explicit Newtonian viscosity and implicit numerical viscosity.

B. LRD initialization

A class of exact solutions to (7) over an infinite domain are the LRD steady states, which are derived from the relative vorticity relation,

\[ \nabla^2 \psi = \begin{cases} -k^2 \psi - c(k^2 + p^2) \sin(\theta - \alpha_0), & r \leq 1, \\ p^2 \psi, & r > 1, \end{cases} \]

(10)

where \((r, \theta)\) are standard polar coordinates. Here, \(r = 1\) defines a circular separatrix \((L\text{ is chosen to be the vortex radius})\); \(p^2 = \beta/c + S > 0\); \(\alpha_0 = 0^\circ, 180^\circ\) (eastward and westward propagation, respectively); and \(k\) is a positive constant satisfying the nonlinear equation:

\[ \frac{K_J(k)}{K_S(k)} = -\frac{\rho K_J(p)}{K_S(p)}, \]

(11)

where \(J_J\) and \(K_J\) are the order-\(\mu\) Bessel and modified Bessel functions of the first kind, respectively. This equation has an infinite number of solutions for \(k\), but we considered only dipoles with the lowest \(k\). Based on the work of (Ref. 18)
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\[ L = \beta q_1 \]

where \( q_1 \) as it evolves. Hereafter, the A-component as depicted in Fig. 1 contains visualizations of the A- and S-components and will help the readers to understand the story, which is consistent with the description of the A-component calculated in the previous stage. The streamfunction field can be expressed in the form

\[ \psi(r, \theta) = crF(r) \sin(\theta - \alpha_0) , \]

(12)

where

\[ F(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho k^2(J_1(kr)/J_1(k) - 1)}{2K_1(pr) / K_1(p)}, & r \leq 1, \\ \frac{\rho k^2(J_1(kr)/J_1(k) - 1)}{2K_1(pr) / K_1(p)}, & r > 1, \end{cases} \]

(13)

and the corresponding PVA components are:

\[ q_A(r, \theta) = -XQ(r) \sin \alpha_0, \quad q_S(r, \theta) = YQ(r) \cos \alpha_0, \]

(14)

where

\[ Q(r) = \begin{cases} -cF(r) + 1)(S + k^2) - \beta, & r \leq 1, \\ \frac{\rho k^2(J_1(kr)/J_1(k) - 1)}{2K_1(pr) / K_1(p)}, & r > 1. \end{cases} \]

(15)

In this work we investigate tilted dipoles for \( S = 1 \), i.e., \( L = R_0 \), launched at angles \( 0 < \alpha_0 < 90^\circ \) to the zonal axis. As a consequence of this, \( q_3 \) is nonzero and the tilted LRDs do not satisfy (7), i.e., the dipoles we consider are no longer steady states. Note that in this case, the A- and S-components are computed about the zonal coordinate axis, rather than the dipole translation axis, which are equivalent only for the eastward-LRD. Fig. 1 contains visualizations of the A- and S-components and will help the readers to understand the story, as it evolves. Hereafter, the A-component as depicted in Fig. 1a is referred to as the tilted mode (T-mode).

In the (eastward propagating) case \( \alpha_0 = 0^\circ \) and for dipoles with \( \beta/c \geq 2 \), the component \( q_A \) (i.e., D-mode) was initially zero but appeared due to spontaneous symmetry breaking and shown to oscillate and grow exponentially over time. This growth caused the dipole to develop asymmetries through elongation and compression of the vortex pair (Fig. 2). The ultimate result was the destruction of the dipole, with the vortex partners decelerating and drifting apart, before propagating in the opposite westward direction. At later times, they continued drifting apart and eventually disintegrated into the background flow as in (Ref 34). A combination of dynamical evolution associated with T-mode depicted in Fig. 1a and D-mode (Fig. 2) is expected to be seen in the case of \( \alpha_0 \neq 0^\circ \).

C. Kinematics of tilted dipoles

For dipoles, let us consider the coordinates of the positive and negative PVA extrema, \( (X_1, Y_1) \) and \( (X_2, Y_2) \), respectively. The dipole tilt is then characterized by

\[ \Delta X = X_1 - X_2 = D \sin \alpha, \quad \Delta Y = Y_1 - Y_2 = D \cos \alpha, \]

(16)

where \( D \) is the distance between extrema,

\[ D = \sqrt{(\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2}, \]

(17)

and \( \alpha \) is the evolving angle, such that \( \alpha(0) = \alpha_0 \). The dipole center is defined as \( (X_c, Y_c) \), with

\[ X_c = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{2}, \quad Y_c = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2}{2}, \]

(18)

while the zonal and meridional drift components of the dipole center,

\[ u_c = \frac{dx_c}{dt}, \quad v_c = \frac{dy_c}{dt}. \]

(19)
Deformation and destruction of north-eastward drifting dipoles respectively, give the propagation velocity. These components allow us to obtain the corresponding dipole speed as

\[ V = \sqrt{u_0^2 + v_0^2}. \]  

(20)

For very strong dipoles \((c \gg \beta)\), a simple kinematic theory described in (Ref. 35) predicts the center trajectory, \((x_c(t), y_c(t))\), by neglecting changes in the distance between the dipole extrema (i.e., \(D = D_0\)), and in the dipole center velocity \(V = c\). This theory tells us that the evolution of the dipole angle, \(\alpha(t)\), is given by the equation

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{-\beta V}{M_d} y_c. \]  

(21)

where \(M_d = \int (r)^3 \, dr \approx 3V\) is the magnitude of the LRD moment, which is described by (13)-(15) with \(\beta = 0\) and normalized by the area of fluid trapped inside the separatrix. Combining (21) with

\[ \frac{dy_c}{dt} = V \sin \alpha, \]  

(22)

allowed the full trajectory to be predicted by the equation for the physical pendulum. Thus, for the north-eastward LRDs we expect to see oscillatory behaviour in the displacement of the dipole center around the equilibrium latitude. The maximum excursion \(Y_m\) is given in (Ref. 35) as

\[ Y_m = 2 \left( \frac{M_d}{\beta} \sin \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \right) \right). \]  

(23)

Both the kinematic theory (21)–(22) and numerical simulations in (Ref. 31) showed that initially tilted LRDs evolve by damped oscillations, and the corresponding mechanism was identified by (Ref. 36), where a PV conservation argument showed that the adjustment is achieved through the dipole losing enstrophy to the surrounding background flow. This mechanism can be incorporated into (21) as

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = \frac{-\beta V (y_c + \lambda \sin \alpha)}{M_d}. \]  

(24)

In this case the dipole evolution calculated from (22)–(24) displays decaying oscillations which depend on the damping parameter \(\lambda\). Neither this theory nor the numerical solutions in (Ref. 31) take into account potential instability of the eastward LRDs²⁹, which motivates more in-depth studies. Now that we have reviewed some theoretical work pertaining to tilted dipoles, we proceed in the following section to extract new information for tilted LRD dynamics using high-resolution numerical simulations.

III. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

A. Methodology

We solve (1) on a doubly-periodic computational domain of size \((4L_x, L_y) = (60, 15)\) with \(4N \times N = 8192 \times 2048\) nodes.

Motivated by mesoscale observations, we consider dipoles on the scale of the internal Rossby radius of deformation, that is, \(L = R_0\) (consequently, \(S = 1\)). Furthermore, to draw comparisons with (Ref. 31), we nondimensionalize so that \(c = 0.1\) (so that \(\hat{U} = 10c\), where \(\hat{c}\) is the dimensional equivalent of \(c\)) and explore the following parameter space:

\[ (\alpha_0, \beta) = [5°, 30°, 45°, 60°, 90°] \times [1, 2, 3.5, 4]c, \]  

(25)

where \(\alpha_0 \leq 45°\) is referred to as small tilt and \(\alpha_0 > 45°\) is referred to as large tilt. We also use the terms weak and strong to correspond with \(c \leq \beta \leq 4c\) and \(\beta \leq c\), respectively. Benchmark solution animations for this parameter space are shown in Fig. 3 with Multimedia view.

To verify our results, we made use of two distinctly different numerical models. For consistency with (Ref. 30), we use finite differences with the CABARET advection scheme; the algorithm is described in detail in (Ref. 37), and the numerical convergence properties of the model in relevant flow regimes with rich mesoscale dynamics are discussed in (Refs. 38 and 39).

For consistency with (Ref. 31) and to validate our results, we also performed simulations using the Dedalus Python package, which combines a pseudo-spectral approach in space with a fourth-order implicit-explicit Runge-Kutta scheme for time integration³⁰. This approach necessarily includes explicit dissipation represented through a hyperdiffusion term, whereas the CABARET approach has implicit numerical diffusion, which is minimized at each timestep through the advection scheme.

We estimated a numerical viscosity value corresponding
Deformation and destruction of north-eastward drifting dipoles to dissipation in our CABARET simulations and used it to set equivalent hyperdiffusion parameter in the pseudo-spectral model. We found that both methods yield similar solutions (see the supplementary material), therefore, we primarily present CABARET results, though supplementary animations in Fig. 3 (Multimedia view) are obtained with the Dedalus package.

B. Overview of results

The dipole evolution is analyzed by considering both the trajectory of the dipole center \((x_c, y_c)\) and changes in the internal dipole structure, as characterized by the propagation speed, \(V\), and the distance between extrema, \(D\), defined in §II C. The coordinates of the positive and negative dipole extrema \((X_1, Y_1)\) and \((X_2, Y_2)\) are evaluated using local 2D-parabolic interpolation.

Comparing with the kinematic theory we found new behaviour in the evolution of dipoles with \(\beta/c = 1\) (strong dipoles) and with \(\beta/c \geq 2\) (weak dipoles). These modifications to the dipole dynamics are mostly related to growing D-mode on top of decaying T-mode, which become clearly visible when the vortex crosses the zonal axis.

Strong dipoles adjust themselves along the zonal axis and appear to continue eastward propagation. Nevertheless, over the course of this adjustment phase, one can see moderate increase of partner separation and associated deceleration in the upper panels in Fig. 4 and Table 1. Note that the originally D-mode was described only for weak eastward LRD solution.30 Here, growing D-mode is revealed also for the strong dipoles though they are not destroyed during the time of integration and their structure still resemble the initial LRD.

Weak dipoles for \(\alpha \leq 45°\), decelerate with partners separating by more than 30° and a reversal in the direction of zonal propagation (lower panels in Fig. 4), similar to the effects of a growing D-mode in the eastward LRD.30 For strong and weak dipoles with a range of tilts, our findings are summarized in Fig. 5, where there are both similarities with and notable differences from (Ref. 31).

Hence, to clarify novelties of the observed phenomena, we make a detailed comparison of the various regimes over the following sections where the modification in the spatial structure of the dipoles is illustrated by snapshots of the PVA A-component, while the relative intensity function,

\[
q_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{q_{a}(X_{1}, Y_{1}, t) + |q_{a}(X_{2}, Y_{2}, t)|}{2 \max_{\alpha} q_{a}(X, Y, 0)}, \tag{26}
\]

describes the time evolution of the A-component amplitude in the dipole normalized by its initial intensity.

C. Strong dipole evolution

Fig. 6 shows the evolution of the meridional position of the dipole center, \(y_c\), for the case of strong dipoles. Decaying oscillations can clearly be seen. It should be noted that these oscillations persist for all cases studied—see the supplementary material for the case of weak dipoles.

In the case of strong dipoles with \(\alpha_0 = 5°\), the modelled dipole trajectory is compared with the kinematic-theory trajectory (Fig. 7), where we used \(\lambda = 0.2\) and normalized \(\Delta X \sim D \sin \alpha\) and \(y_c\) with \(D_0 \sin \alpha\) and \(Y_m\) (as defined in (17)), respectively. The similarity between numerical and predicted trajectories suggests that the theory is valid within the strong dipole regime for small initial tilts as the vortex structure remains approximately unchanged throughout the evolution. However, significant deviations in the numerical trajectory in Fig. 7 become visible when \(t \geq 300\), suggesting a transition in dynamics results in a discrepancy with the kinematic theory to be investigated further.

By \(t = 400\), the vortex has undergone a small deceleration
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\alpha_0$</th>
<th>$D(t)/D_0 - 1$</th>
<th>$V(t)/c - 1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5^\circ$</td>
<td>$(0.5, 1.1)$%</td>
<td>$-1(1.0, 3.0)$%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30^\circ$</td>
<td>$(1.0, 6.0)$%</td>
<td>$-7(1.2, 20)$%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45^\circ$</td>
<td>$(0.7, 1.1)$%</td>
<td>$-7(7.1, 20)$%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$60^\circ$</td>
<td>$(0.1, 4.1)$%</td>
<td>$-6(6.7, 12.2)$%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$90^\circ$</td>
<td>$(1.7, 3.2)$%</td>
<td>$-16(16.7, 25.5)$%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Percentage change in partner separation and dipole deceleration for $\beta = c$, with first entries corresponding to $t = 200$ and second entries corresponding to $t = 400$.

and an increased partner separation of $V/c - 1 \sim -3\%$ and $D/D_0 - 1 \sim 1.1\%$, respectively. These alterations are consistent with the dynamics of symmetric eastward drifting LRDs studied in (Ref. 30), which continued translating as a steady state, with deceleration and small partner separation resulting from implicit numerical viscosity.

To better understand the spiral deviations in Fig. 7, we consider times $t_\ell$ corresponding to intersections of the dipole trajectory with the zonal axis (i.e., $x_\alpha(t_\ell) = 0$, with $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$). Eight intersections are observed in Fig. 6 for $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ, 30^\circ, 60^\circ$ over the time of integration $0 \leq t < 400$, and seven intersections for $\alpha_0 = 90^\circ$. The simple tilted mode (or T-mode) structure of the A-component at initialization (see Fig. 1a) is assumed to remain at each intersection according to the kinematic theory, while the amplitude of the A-component, $q_\alpha(t_\ell)$, is expected to decay at subsequent crossings together with the angle $\alpha(t_\ell)$, similarly to $|\Delta X(t_\ell)|/D \sin \alpha_0$ in Fig. 7. To evaluate the predictions of the kinematic dipole theory for strong dipoles, we compare the predicted amplitude decay with the values of $q_{\alpha}(t_\ell)$ in our solutions for different values of $\alpha_0$.

Values of $q_{\alpha}(t_\ell)$ are plotted in Fig. 8 for different initial angles and correspond well to the theoretical T-mode prediction of $\alpha(t_\ell)$ for the earlier crossings. However, a significant increase in the value of $q_{\alpha}$ can be seen in Fig. 8 at $t = 7,8$ ($t > 300$) for $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ$ and $\alpha_0 = 30^\circ$. Such increases indicate the dominance of a growing D-mode (compare with Fig. 2) that becomes clearly visible at the final two crossings (see the supplementary material and Fig. 9). This earlier D-mode appearance in comparison with strong non-tilted eastward LRDs in (Ref. 30) indicates that the dipole perturbations due to tilt play a catalytic role and favour the instability, which appears only in weak eastward LRDs over the time of integration.

Moreover, the growth rate between the final zonal axis crossings is

$$\sigma = \frac{(q_{\alpha}(t_8) - q_{\alpha}(t_7))/q_{\alpha}(t_7)}{t_8 - t_7} \sim 0.006,$$

for $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ$, which is smaller than D-mode growth for weak eastward LRDs. Note, the increase in $q_{\alpha}$ between the last
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**FIG. 9.** $q_3$ snapshots for $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (30^\circ, c)$ at times where $\gamma_c = 0$. (a) $t_s = 240$, (b) $t_s = 290$, (c) $t_s = 340$, and (d) $t_s = 390$.
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**FIG. 10.** $q_3$ snapshots for $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (60^\circ, c)$ at times where $\gamma_c = 0$. (a) $t_s = 245$, (b) $t_s = 285$, (c) $t_s = 335$, (d) $t_s = 380$.

crossings is weaker, $\sigma \sim 0.003$, for $\alpha_0 = 30^\circ$, despite there being a greater partner separation of 6% and dipole deceleration of 20% at $t = 400$ (see Fig. 4a). This increased distance between dipole partners contributes to slower D-mode development as seen also for $\alpha_0 = 45^\circ$ (Fig. 4b).

For an initial launch of $\alpha_0 = 60^\circ$ the growing D-mode is not seen, instead we observed a monotonic and approximately linear decrease in $q_3(t)$ at consecutive values of $t$ (see Fig. 8) and find that the A-component evolves as a decaying T-mode of alternating sign (see Fig. 10). However, at later times one can see meridional splitting of the T-mode (Fig. 10d), reflecting another mechanism to cause the separation between partners, though the apparent partner separation appears less than that caused by the growing D-mode for smaller tilt $\alpha_0 = 45^\circ$ (see Fig. 4b). Such adjustment of the dipole to an elliptical (meridionally elongated) shape is accompanied by a decrease in the deceleration magnitude for $t > 250$. Further investigations in substantially longer domains, over much longer times, and with much higher resolution (to suppress implicit viscosity) go beyond the scope of this paper.
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**TABLE II.** Percentage change in partner separation and dipole deceleration for $\beta = 2c$, with first entries corresponding to $t = 200$ and second entries corresponding to $t = 400$. When $\alpha_0 = 90^\circ$, the dipole disintegrates into the background completely at $t \sim 280$.

Similar T-mode persistence with alternating sign value is observed in the dynamics when $\alpha_0 = 90^\circ$ (see the supplementary material). However, despite approximately linear deceleration in $q_3$ up until $t = 5$, this decay becomes significantly weaker at $t = 6.7$ (see Fig. 8). Even though this is still a monotonically decreasing pattern, the change in decay suggests that there might be some transition in dynamics to be investigated further.

In summary, our analysis for strong dipoles with $\beta = c$ revealed two distinct scenarios:

1. The development of a slowly growing D-mode when initial tilt is small, i.e., $0 < \alpha_0 \leq 45^\circ$.

2. The gradual splitting of a decaying T-mode (without the appearance of a D-mode) when the initial tilt is large, i.e., $45 < \alpha_0 \leq 90^\circ$.

Further investigations are needed over a much larger interval of time to clarify the fate of D- and T-modes in strong dipoles.

D. Slow destruction of weak dipoles

Our numerical simulations indicate that weak dipoles released at an angle $\alpha_0 \in [5^\circ, 90^\circ]$ initially follow oscillatory paths that decay over time (see the supplementary material), comparable to the case of strong dipoles. In (Ref. 31), weak dipoles only disintegrate at large $\alpha_0$ (corresponding to $\alpha_0 = 90^\circ$ when $\beta = 2$ and $\alpha_0 = 60^\circ, 90^\circ$ when $\beta = 0.35$), otherwise orienting themselves along the zonal axis, displaying approximate steady translation in the eastward direction. Given the destruction of purely eastward weak dipoles was attributed to the growth of the D-mode in (Ref. 30), we anticipate this anomaly to develop on an initially tilted dipole after the adjustment phase.

For weak dipoles with $(\alpha_0, \beta) = \{5^\circ, 30^\circ, 45^\circ, 2c\}$, we find a phase transition in $q_3$ at intersections with the zonal axis ($\gamma_c = 0$). In particular, when $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ$, the T-mode at zonal axis crossings rapidly evolve into a growing oscillatory D-mode (see Fig. 11). Consequently, the eddy partners are driven much further apart than for strong dipoles, with $D/D_0 - 1 \sim 6.3\%$, 36.6% at $t = 200, 400$, respectively, (compare Figs. 4a,c). This is also reflected in their deceleration, where $V/c = 1 - 21.6\%, 89.2\%$ when $t = 200, 400$, which is consistent with the dynamics of eastward LRDs with growing D-mode$^{30}$.
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FIG. 11. $q_4$ snapshots for $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (5^\circ, 2\sigma)$ at times where $y_c = 0$. (a) $t_2 \sim 60$, (b) $t_4 \sim 120$, (c) $t_7 \sim 180$, (d) $t_{13} \sim 240$.

FIG. 12. $q_4$ snapshots for $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (45^\circ, 2\sigma)$ at times where $y_c = 0$. (a) $t_2 \sim 60$, (b) $t_4 \sim 130$, (c) $t_6 \sim 200$, (d) $t_{13} \sim 270$.

Observed destruction of tilted weak dipoles is consistent with previous numerical simulations using a barotropic model ($L \ll R_e$) (Ref. 14, section 4.2.2). The similar weak intensity dipole ($\beta/c = 2$), launched at $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ$, relaxed along the zonal axis and proceeded to disintegrate. This disintegration was attributed to the filamentation process and the emission of Rossby waves, suppressed by the use of a cutting filter in the far field, which encouraged drastic deceleration and allowed the separation distance between partners to grow.

In our simulations, similar results are obtained when $\alpha_0 = 30^\circ, 45^\circ$ (see Fig. 12), though with longer T-mode persistence before D-mode formation. The dipole partners experience increased separation and greater dipole deceleration (compare Fig. 4c and Fig. 4d), while the zonal dipole drift becomes westward at $t_5 \sim 400$. Therefore, even though such opposite sign couples remain self-advecting eastward until $t = 400$, the amplifying D-mode will inevitably disintegrate the dipole in longer simulations.

For even weaker dipoles with $\beta = 0.35$, a growing D-mode emerged for $\alpha_0 = 5^\circ, 30^\circ$, which results in the subsequent disintegration of the dipole for $t < 200$ (see the supplementary material and Fig. 3: Multimedia view). Such disintegration is consistent with (Ref. 30), however, our results throughout §III D differs with those obtained in (Ref. 31), where adjustment to a seemingly steady eastward propagating state was observed for $0 \leq t \leq 400$. We believe that these discrepancies are due to the 16 times coarser numerical resolution adopted in their 30 years old study, which meant they were unable to capture the instability of weak eastward propagating dipoles.

To summarize our findings in this subsection, we have observed the following:

1. Weak dipoles developed a D-mode over time when $0 < \alpha_0 < 45^\circ$ (and for $\alpha_0 = 45^\circ$ when $\beta = 2\sigma$).

2. A growing D-mode decelerates the weak dipoles and increases the core distance between the opposite sign pair, encouraging the spontaneous symmetry breaking phenomena, as found for symmetric weak eastward LRDs$^{31}$.

3. $\beta = 3.5\sigma$ dipoles completely disintegrate within the time interval $0 \leq t \leq 400$, whereas, $\beta = 2\sigma$ dipoles begin to propagate westward much later and would completely disintegrate if simulated for longer time.

E. Adjustment or fast destruction of weak dipoles

Weak dipoles with $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (60^\circ, 2\sigma)$ have $q_4$ evolve as a decaying T-mode (see Fig. 13) comparable to what we observed with strong dipoles (see Fig. 10). However, a notable difference is that $D/D_0 - 1 \sim 62.2\%$ at $t = 200$, corresponding to a much greater separation than observed for $\beta = c$. This deformation is similar to the meridional separatrix stretching captured in simulations for dipoles with initially circular separatrix in (Ref. 14). Despite the large meridional separation at early times, this elliptical deformation does not change significantly between $200 \leq t \leq 400$ (see table II), and these small alterations are likely due to implicit numerical viscosity$^{31}$. Furthermore, the much lower deceleration experienced compared to cases with smaller values of $\alpha_0$ suggests that the dipole transforms to a non-circular steady state. This agrees with the steady adjustment discussed in (Ref. 31) and the theoretical predictions for strong dipoles in (Ref. 36), though these studies did not discuss elliptical deformation.

Weak dipoles with $(\alpha_0, \beta) = (90^\circ, 2\sigma)$ disintegrate in the interval $0 \leq t < 280$ as observed in (Ref. 31). Indeed, a decaying T-mode similar to that seen when $\alpha_0 = 60^\circ$ elucidates the dipole dynamics for this parameter regime where the values of $D$ and $V$ rapidly increase over time (see the supplementary material). This destruction occurs much earlier than that achieved by a D-mode and is due to significant Rossby wave radiation. More specifically, the trajectory of the dipole exposes the vortex to interaction with the trailing Rossby waves, which enhances the rapid disintegration.

Finally, when $\alpha_0 \geq 45^\circ$ for the weaker $\beta = 3.5\sigma$ dipole, a D-mode does not appear over the considered time interval,
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FIG. 13. q_A snapshots for (α_0, β) = (60°, 2c) at times where γ_c = 0. (a) t_2 = 60, (b) t_3 = 170, (c) t_6 = 280, (d) t_7 ≈ 390.

and instead we see components of decaying T-mode decelerate and drift apart, before the disintegration of the dipole. Since a D-mode appears when α_0 = 45° and β = 2c, this suggests the existence of some bifurcation point in (α_0, β)-space, where there is a transition between D-mode and T-mode dominance. To confirm this, more research is needed. Finally, in the extreme case when α_0 = 90°, weak dipoles with β = 3.5c disintegrate much faster than for other initial tilts, as in (Ref. 31) and (Ref. 34).

To summarize:

1. q_A evolves as a decaying T-mode rather than a growing D-mode for weak dipoles when α_0 > 45° (and for α_0 = 45° when β = 3.5c).
2. Weak dipoles with β = 3.5c rapidly disintegrate when α_0 ≥ 45°, with the dipole lifespan shortening as α_0 increases.
3. When β = 2c and α_0 = 90°, the dipole disintegrates much faster than with a growing D-mode, as a consequence of Rossby wave radiation.
4. When β = 2c and 45° < α_0 < 90°, elliptic deformation of the separatrix and large enstrophy loss inhibit D-mode development and drives the adjustment to approximate steady propagation along the zonal axis.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

Motivated by the ubiquity of isolated coherent vortices in geophysical (i.e., rotating and stratified) fluids, we investigated the dynamics of mesoscale vortex dipoles launched with different north-eastward tilts to the zonal direction. We considered an idealized equivalent-barotropic QG model in an oceanic configuration, and numerically simulated the evolution of individual LRDs for a physically relevant range of parameters, focusing both on transient and long-time behaviours. While motivated by the important work of our forerunner (Ref. 31), we were able to extend their analysis using more advanced numerical schemes and techniques. These tools allowed us to re-examine their results and discover new dynamical behaviours, thus enriching our understanding of the long-term behaviour of coherent vortices. In particular, we found dynamical sensitivities to both the initial-tilt angle, α_0, and the initial intensity of the dipole, β/c.

Even strong dipoles (i.e., β = c; here, nondimensional planetary vorticity gradient and dipole speed, respectively) for moderate initial tilts (i.e., α_0 ≤ 45°) eventually developed a critical D-mode instability, resulting in essential deviations from the predictions of the kinematic strong-dipole theory in (Ref. 35). This theory allows only for an alternating sign T-mode with the initial profile of q_A, as depicted in Fig. 1a, and decaying with time as in Fig. 7 and 8 with damping suggested in (Ref. 36). For large tilt (i.e., α_0 > 45°), our results are more aligned with the kinematic theory, while displaying meridional separation of dipole partners (illustrated by a splitting of the T-mode in Fig. 10) that accumulates over the adjustment period and prevents the above instability so that the D-mode did not develop for large tilt.

In the case of moderate initial tilt, weak dipoles experienced either a combination of significant partner separation with overall deceleration, or complete destruction owing to fast developing of the D-mode. In the case of large initial tilts, more pronounced elliptical deformation of the dipole core—corresponding to meridional splitting of T-mode—was found to dominate over the D-mode, as the dipole underwent oscillations along the zonal axis until adjusting to steady-state eastward propagation. For extreme large tilts (e.g., α_0 = 90°) and/or very weak dipoles (β = 3.5c), these structures disintegrated rapidly by radiating Rossby waves and proceeding to interact with them; leaving no time for the D-mode destruction mechanism.

Our numerical simulations employed very small implicit numerical viscosity in the background, however, the work presented in (Ref. 41) showed that with explicit Newtonian viscosity (and β = 0) there exists an elliptical dipole structure that distinct circular dipole initializations converge towards as time progresses. These states are found to be unsteady, as characterized by decaying amplitude and increasing vortex size, which is broadly similar to our findings for large α_0, and suggests that this adjustment would be stable in the inviscid limit (if implicit numerical viscosity was exactly zero).

In summary, we found and analysed different dipole parameter regimes, and reported evolution scenarios never previously discussed. All these cases may exist and co-exist in nature, but they are likely to be influenced by other unaccounted physical processes, such as realistic large-scale circulation, stratification and topographic effects. Therefore, our results should act as a catalyst for further research.

V. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for animations of the dipole propagation and additional figures that support the results of this study.
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